

Planning Team Report

larence Valley LEP 2011 - Rezone Lot 3 DP 576021, 189 Yamba Road, Yamba from R2 Low Density Residential to B2 Local Centre			
Proposal Title :	Clarence Valley LEP 2011 - Re Density Residential to B2 Loca) Yamba Road, Yamba from R2 Low
Proposal Summary :			9 576021, 189 Yamba Road, Yamba Valley LEP 2011 for the purpose of a
PP Number	PP_2015_CLARE_006_00	Dop File No :	15/11819
Proposal Details	Monte Pro Childrentin maria	ant not creater of them	in an and the second second
Date Planning Proposal Received :	31-Jul-2015	LGA covered :	Clarence Valley
Region :	Northern	RPA :	Clarence Valley Council
State Electorate :	CLARENCE	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning		
Location Details			
Street : 18	9 Yamba Road		
Suburb :	City :	Yamba	Postcode: 2464
Land Parcel : Lo	t 3 DP 576021		
DoP Planning Offi	icer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Gina Davis		
Contact Number :	0267019687		
Contact Email :	gina.davis@planning.nsw.gov.a	au	
RPA Contact Deta	nils		
Contact Name :	Terry Dwyer		
Contact Number :	0266430243		
Contact Email :	terry.dwyer@clarence.nsw.gov	.au	
DoP Project Mana	iger Contact Details		
Contact Name :			
Contact Number :			
Contact Email :			
Land Release Dat	a		
Growth Centre :	Other	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Mid North Coast Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strate	gy: Yes

MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :	0.07	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Employment Land
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	3
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :		of Practice in relation to communic plied with to the best of the Region	
Have there been meetings or communications with	No		1 a
registered lobbyists? : If Yes, comment :		met any lobbyists in relation to th any meetings between other depar I.	
	3 1 1		
Supporting notes			
Supporting notes Internal Supporting Notes :	×		
Internal Supporting Notes : External Supporting	t		
Internal Supporting Notes : External Supporting Notes : equacy Assessmen			
Internal Supporting Notes : External Supporting Notes : equacy Assessmen	jectives - s55(2)(a)	ж	
Internal Supporting Notes : External Supporting Notes : equacy Assessmen Statement of the ob	jectives - s55(2)(a) jectives provided? Yes	ctives adequately describes the in	ntention of the planning proposal.
Internal Supporting Notes : External Supporting Notes : equacy Assessmen Statement of the ob Is a statement of the ob Comment :	jectives - s55(2)(a) jectives provided? Yes		ntention of the planning proposal.
Internal Supporting Notes : External Supporting Notes : equacy Assessmen Statement of the ob Is a statement of the ob Comment :	jectives - s55(2)(a) ejectives provided? Yes The statement of objections provided - s55(ntention of the planning proposal.
Internal Supporting Notes : External Supporting Notes : equacy Assessmen Statement of the ob Is a statement of the ob Comment : Explanation of prov	jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes The statement of objectives isions provided - s55(evisions provided? Yes The proposal seeks to Density Residential to a service station. The	(2)(b) o rezone Lot 3 DP 576021, 189 Yam	nba Road, Yamba from R2 Low Valley LEP 2011 for the purpose of tely addresses the intended
Internal Supporting Notes : External Supporting Notes : equacy Assessmen Statement of the ob Comment : Explanation of prov Is an explanation of prov Comment :	jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes The statement of objectives isions provided - s55(ovisions provided? Yes The proposal seeks to Density Residential to a service station. The method of achieving t	(2)(b) o rezone Lot 3 DP 576021, 189 Yam o B2 Local Centre under Clarence V explanation of provisions adequa	nba Road, Yamba from R2 Low Valley LEP 2011 for the purpose of tely addresses the intended
Internal Supporting Notes : External Supporting Notes : equacy Assessmen Statement of the ob Comment : Explanation of prov Is an explanation of prov Comment :	jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes The statement of objectives isions provided - s55(ovisions provided? Yes The proposal seeks to Density Residential to a service station. The method of achieving t	2)(b) o rezone Lot 3 DP 576021, 189 Yam B2 Local Centre under Clarence V explanation of provisions adequa he objectives of the planning prop	nba Road, Yamba from R2 Low Valley LEP 2011 for the purpose of tely addresses the intended
Internal Supporting Notes : External Supporting Notes : equacy Assessmen Statement of the ob Comment : Explanation of prov Is an explanation of prov Comment :	jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes The statement of objectives isions provided - s55(avisions provided? Yes The proposal seeks to Density Residential to a service station. The method of achieving to 2)(c) ay been agreed to by the Dis-	(2)(b) o rezone Lot 3 DP 576021, 189 Yam o B2 Local Centre under Clarence V explanation of provisions adequa he objectives of the planning prop irector General? Yes 1.1 Business and Industrial Zo	aba Road, Yamba from R2 Low Valley LEP 2011 for the purpose of tely addresses the intended bosal.
Internal Supporting Notes : External Supporting Notes : equacy Assessmen Statement of the ob Comment : Explanation of prov Is an explanation of prov Comment : Justification - s55 (2 a) Has Council's strateg	jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes The statement of objectives isions provided - s55(ivisions provided? Yes The proposal seeks to Density Residential to a service station. The method of achieving to 2)(c) gy been agreed to by the Di- ntified by RPA :	(2)(b) o rezone Lot 3 DP 576021, 189 Yam B2 Local Centre under Clarence V explanation of provisions adequa he objectives of the planning prop	aba Road, Yamba from R2 Low Valley LEP 2011 for the purpose of tely addresses the intended bosal.

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain : Refer to assessment section of the report

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

The planning proposal contains maps which adequately show the location of the subject land. However no mapping has been included which shows the current and proposed zoning of the site. Mapping prepared in accordance with the Department's standard technical requirements for LEP maps is recommended for the public exhibition process.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

The planning proposal identifies a 28 day exhibition period which is considered adequate for the nature of the proposal.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :	The Planning Proposal and accompanying documentation are considered to satisfy the
	adequacy criteria by:
	1. Providing appropriate objectives and intended outcomes;
	2. Providing a suitable explanation of the provisions proposed by the LEP to achieve the
	outcomes;
	3. Providing an adequate justification for the proposal;
	4. Outlining a proposed community consultation program; and
	5. Providing a project time line.
	Council has sought an authorisation to exercise its plan making delegations in this instance. An Evaluation Criteria For the Delegation of Plan Making Functions has been provided. The proposal is considered to be a local matter with no issues of State or
	regional significance. It is therefore recommended that an authorisation to exercise its plan making delegations be issued to Council in regard to this matter.
	The RPA has provided a project time line which estimates that the plan will be finalised
	in February 2016. A 9 month timeframe is considered a sufficient period for completion of the LEP.

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date 🖗

Comments in The Clarence Valley LEP 2011 commenced in December 2011. relation to Principal LEP :

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning
proposal :The proposal is not a result of a strategic study or report.The subject land is zoned R2 Low Density Residential under Clarence Valley LEP 2011. The
Planning Proposal aims to rezone the land to B2 Local Centre to enable a service station
development to be considered for the site. It should be noted that the adjoining Lot 2 DP
576021 will form part of the intended service station development and is currently zoned
B2 Local Centre under Clarence Valley LEP 2011. The proposed use is permissible in the
B2 Local Centre zone with consent.The subject land currently contains a residential dwelling with associated infrastructure
and directly adjoins commercially zoned land. The proposed rezoning is considered by
Council as a natural extension of the Treelands Drive Commercial Centre and as such is
regarded as being consistent with the provisions of the Yamba Retail/Commercial Strategy
2002.

Mid North Coast Regional Strategy (MNCRS)
The proposal is considered to be consistent with the MNCRS.
SEPP'S
The proposal is not considered to be inconsistent with any State Environmental Planning
Policies (SEPP's). Council have however identified SEPP 55 Remediation of Land as being
relevant to the proposal. No contamination is known to exist on the site however. Further
assessment of this issue can be conducted by Council at the development application
stage.
S117 Directions.
The proposal is considered to be consistent with all applicable S117 Directions except 1.1
Business and Industrial Zones, 3.1 Residential Zones, 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils and 4.3 Flood
Prone Land. These are discussed below.
1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
This Direction applies as the Planning Proposal will contain provisions that alter an
existing zone boundary which has not been justified by a strategy. The Planning Proposal
aims to increase the existing and adjoining B2 Local Centre zone by approximately 680m2.
It is not anticipated that this increase will have a detrimental impact on the existing
business zone and as such it is considered that this inconsistency is justified in this
instance as it is of minor significance.
3.1 Residential Zones
The Planning Proposal is inconsistent with this Direction as the Planning Proposal will
contain provisions that will reduce the permissible residential density of land. The subject
site directly adjoins an existing business zone and therefore the rezoning to B2 Local
Centre is in keeping with surrounding landuse. It will also constitute only a minor
reduction in the residential zone of approximately 680m2 and as such any inconsistency can be considered to be of minor significance.
It is noted that the Planning Proposal does not identify this Direction as applicable and
this should be amended prior to exhibition.
4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils
The planning proposal is inconsistent with this Direction as an acid sulfate soils study to
support the proposal has not been prepared. The proponent has undertaken an Acid
Sulfate Management Strategy that details soil treatment during construction however it
does not assess the appropriateness of the change of landuse. This inconsistency is
considered to be of minor significance as Clarence Valley LEP 2011 already contains
appropriate acid sulfate soil provisions that can address this matter at the development
appropriate acto sunate son provisions that can address this matter at the development application stage.
appillauon staye.
4.2 Elect Prope Land
4.3 Flood Prone Land
The planning proposal is inconsistent with this Direction as it will allow development
within a flood planning area. This inconsistency is considered to be of minor significance
as the subject site is already developed and as such any intensification of use is
considered minor. Provisions also exist within the Clarence Valley LEP 2011 to address
flood planning at development application stage.
It is not anticipated that the proposed rezoning will have any significant adverse
environmental, social or economic impact on surrounding land or the Local Government
Area as a whole.
The proposal will have a positive social and economic benefit by providing additional land
for employment generating purposes whilst rigorous environmental assessment by
Council, will ensure that potential flooding, traffic, noise or hazard issues are fully
considered.

Assessment Process

Proposal type : Routine		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
Timeframe to make 9 months LEP :		Delegation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)				
(d) :				
Is Public Hearing by the PAC required?	No			
(2)(a) Should the matter proceed ?	Yes			
If no, provide reasons :				
Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No				
If Yes, reasons :				
Identify any additional studies, if required. :				
If Other, provide reasons :				
Identify any internal consultations, if required :				
No internal consultation required				
Is the provision and funding of state infrastructu	re relevar	t to this plan? No		
If Yes, reasons :				

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Cover Letter - Yamba Road.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Council Report - Yamba Road.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Planning Proposal Yamba Road.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Planning Proposal Yamba Rd - Project timeline.pdf	Proposal	Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones	
	2.2 Coastal Protection	
	4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils	
	4.3 Flood Prone Land	
	5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies	
Additional Information :	It is recommended that;	
	1. The planning proposal be supported;	
	2. That the planning proposal be exhibited for a period of 28 days;	
	3. The planning proposal is to be completed within 9 months.	

 4. The Secretary (or her delegate) agree that the inconsistencies of the proposal w S117 Directions 1.1, 3.1, 4.1 and 4.3 are justified in accordance with the terms of the directions. 5. Prior to public exhibition, the planning proposal should be amended to; include mapping prepared in accordance with the Department's standard technic requirements for LEP maps; and include an updated list of applicable S117 Direction's that includes 3.1 Residenti Zones. 6. That an authorisation to exercise plan making delegations be issued to Council 	
Supporting Reasons :	The reasons for the recommendation are as follows; 1. The proposal is in keeping with adjoining commercial landuse and will help to reinforce the Treelands Drive Commercial Area, 2. The loss of approximately 680m2 of residential zoned land within the Local Government Area is considered minor, 3. No detrimental social, environmental or economic impacts are anticipated from the proposal, and 4. The inconsistencies of the proposal with the S117 directions are of minor significance or are justified in accordance with the terms of the directions.
Signature:	A.L.
Printed Name:	JIM CLAPK Date: 6 August 2015